	October 23, 1997	Introduced By:	Brian Derdowski Louise Miller Cynthia Sullivan Larry Phillips
	clerk 10/23/97 October 30, 1997	Proposed No.:	97-646
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A MOTIO surface w the Regio Regional the King other regi funding s Decembe	MOTION NO. <u>100</u> ON relating to regional water vater needs and funding sour onal Task Force recommenda Water Quality Committee; a County Executive, in collab ional officials, identify a pre- trategy supporting these need of 31, 1997 for public discuss juarter of 1998 and for subse	er quality, ces; accepting ations to the and requesting oration with ferred eds by sion during
13 14 15		by the King County Council County Council adopted Mot	l. tion No. 9681 in 1995 providing
16	overall support for the Regiona	l Needs Assessment for Sur	face Water Management (RNA),
17	and calling for the establishmen	nt of watershed forums and o	development of regional
18	approaches to providing flood protection, preserving water quality and enhancing fish		
19	habitat, and		
20	WHEREAS, this proces	ss resulted in the creation of	the Lake Washington/Cedar
21	River, Green/Duwamish, Samm	namish, and Snoqualmie Wa	atershed Forums and
22	jurisdictions in the White River Watershed and Puget Sound drainages were encouraged to		
23	continue investigating the need	l for a forum, and	

1

## 10353

1	WHEREAS, in July 1996 the Metropolitan King County Regional Water Quality
2	Committee (RWQC) established a process for identification and prioritization of regional
3	surface water needs and funding, and
4	WHEREAS, each existing watershed forum was directed to develop a vision for
5	protection of water quality, flood hazard reduction, and fish habitat protection in their
6	watershed; and, using a common set of criteria, identify the priority programs and projects
7	necessary for accomplishing this vision, and
8	WHEREAS, all existing forums have developed watershed visions and prioritized
9	programs and projects to achieve the visions over the next twenty years, and
10	WHEREAS, these priority programs and projects, with associated costs, were
11	forwarded early this year to a Regional Task Force (RTF) made up of three representatives
12	from each watershed forum, and
13	WHEREAS, the RTF was asked to identify the kinds of water quality, flood hazard
14	reduction, and fish habitat programs that should be supported regionally and to recommend
15	funding source(s), and
16	WHEREAS, the RTF was asked to distinguish between projects eligible for
17	regional funding from those that should be funded locally or at the watershed level, and
18	WHEREAS, the RTF recommends that jurisdictions have surface water
19	management funding mechanisms in place before being eligible for any regional funding
20	assistance, and

•,

- 2 ·



	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	WHEREAS, the RTF identified a set of Regional Funding Principles for
2	consideration by the Regional Water Quality Committee (RWQC) and a recommendation
3	to further consider the use of a Regional Surface Water Fee, use of wastewater revenues,
4	and an increase of the King Conservation District assessment to the full five dollars in
5	order to provide funding for the RNA, and
6	WHEREAS, the RTF, having completed its work, recommends that a
7	subcommittee of RTF members representing the County, City of Seattle, Suburban Cities
8	Association, and the King Conservation District advise the RWQC on the use and
9	governance of assessment revenues, and
10	WHEREAS, the RTF recommends that the RWQC send a motion to the
11	Metropolitan King County Council requesting that the County Executive identify a
12	preferred funding strategy for public discussion during the first quarter of 1998, and
13	WHEREAS, in 1995 it was left to the Puget Sound drainages to explore their
14	interest in establishing a forum, and they are now expressing an interest in doing so, and
15	their regional needs have not yet been prioritized and added to the list of regional needs,
16	and
17	WHEREAS, the RWQC, comprised of representatives from suburban cities, City
18	of Seattle, King County, and sewer districts, has now considered the Regional Task Force
19	recommendations and endorsed this motion;

• •

- 3 -

## 

## NOW THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

A. To accept the recommendations of the Regional Task Force, and request the
King County Executive to work with other regional officials to further discuss, refine, and
implement those recommendations. If the Puget Sound drainage jurisdictions choose to
establish a forum, their recommendations for adding to or revising the Regional Funding
Principles and list of priority projects and programs shall be brought to the RWQC for
consideration and recommendation to the King County Executive.

B. Request, by December 31, 1997, the King County Executive identify a
preferred funding strategy and a process for determining long term priorities supporting
these regional needs that is coordinated with related regional initiatives such as the
Regional Wastewater Services Plan and incorporates as appropriate the regional needs of
the Puget Sound drainage jurisdictions, and transmit this strategy and process to RWQC
for consideration and recommendation to the King County Council.

C. Request that the King County Council enact the King Conservation District assessment at the level of \$5 per parcel, and limit the assessment to a period of years not less than two nor more than five.

The King Conservation District shall distribute \$3.00 of the per
 parcel assessment equally to the watersheds forums, to be used to fund programs and
 projects identified as priority needs by the RNA process and which are consistent with the
 district purposes under RCW 89.08.

10353

2. The King Conservation District shall distribute \$1.00 of the per parcel assessment to the county and each city in the district from which the funds were collected, to be used to assist that jurisdiction in paying for its share of funding subscribed to its watershed forum for regional programs and projects, or for natural resource protection activities within that jurisdiction's boundaries which are consistent with the district purposes. The county and cities within the district may choose to receive the distribution in cash or contract with the district for services equal to the value of the distribution.

3. The remaining \$1.00 of the per parcel assessment shall be used by the King Conservation District for implementation of its approved annual work program.

PASSED by a vote of 7 to 5 this 17th day of November 1997

## KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

lani. Chaif

ATTEST:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

Clerk of the Council

Clerk of the Coun

Attachments: